

THE PURE EVIL OF AL GORE

SECOND EDITION
Al Gore and
AIDS Genocide



THE NEW FEDERALIST

price \$1

José López Portillo:

**'And it is now necessary
for the world to listen to
the wise words of
Lyndon LaRouche.'**



López Portillo, MSIA leader Marvilia Camaseo and Helga Zepp LaRouche.

**EIR Releases
New Video**

The Eurasian Land-Bridge Ally with China, Not London

EIR has just released an hour-long video tracing the history of the ideas behind the Eurasian Land-Bridge, featuring documentary footage of speeches by Lyndon LaRouche and Helga LaRouche, and by former Mexican President Jose Lopez Portillo.

Order Today!

EIE-99-002 **\$25**

Call Toll-free **888-EIR-3258** (888-347-3258)

LAROUCHE ON THE NEW BRETON WOODS

**"The present fatally ill
global financial and
monetary system must be
radically reorganized.**

**It can not be reformed, it
must be reorganized.**

**This must be done in the
manner of a reorganization
in bankruptcy, conducted
under the authority
not of international
institutions, but of
sovereign governments."**

A 90-minute
videotape with
excerpts from
a speech by
Lyndon H.
LaRouche, Jr.
given on
March 18, 1998.

\$35 postpaid
Order number
EIE 98-002

EIRNewsService
P.O. Box 17390,
Washington, D.C.
20041-0390

To order, call
1-888-EIR-3258
(toll-free).

We accept Visa or MasterCard.

THE WORLD FINANCIAL COLLAPSE LAROUCHE WAS RIGHT!

An EIR Video

What does
Indonesia's
Minister of
Economy,
Finance and
Industry,
Ginandjar
Kartasasmita,
know about the
global financial
crisis that you
don't?

Here's what the Far
Eastern Economic
Review reported
July 23:

"It seems the IMF
isn't the only
organization



supplying
economic advice
to the Jakarta
government. . . .
[Reporters] were
surprised to spot,
among
[Ginandjar's]
papers, a video

entitled, 'The
World
Financial
Collapse:
LaRouche was
Right.' Lyndon
LaRouche . . .
has been
arguing for
years that the
world's

financial system
was on the brink
of collapse due to
unfettered growth
in speculative
funds; he says now
that the Asian
crisis is just the
beginning. . . ."

Order number EIE 98-005 **\$25** postpaid.

EIR News Service P.O. Box 17390 Washington, D.C.
20041-0390 To order, call 1-888-EIR-3258 (toll-free)
We accept Visa or MasterCard

INTRODUCTION

Why You Must Reject Al Gore

Since the first edition of this pamphlet on the "pure evil" of Al Gore, the political fortunes of the vice-president have not improved. Nearly every one of his attempts to regain the political initiative — from his attack on President Clinton at the launching of his official presidential bid, to his changes in staff — have resulted in disaster. The standard joke in some quarters, after the Gore campaign was caught using up scarce New Hampshire water resources in order to stage a canoe ride down the Connecticut River, is that there isn't enough water in all the rivers of America to keep Al Gore's campaign afloat.

But Gore's political career is not finished yet. And that represents urgent business for those who are determined to save the United States, and the rest of the world, from the disaster portended by the ongoing financial blowout, and looming world war.

The fact is, that Gore's political apparatus, epitomized by the wacky but deadly Secretary of State Madeleine Albright, is still exercising a dangerous amount of power in the name of the United States. Gore and Albright played crucial roles in detonating the war in Yugoslavia, a war whose purpose was the British geopolitical aim of detonating conflict between the United States and the nations of the Survivors Club, especially Russia and China. The fruits of that war are bitter indeed, as the political disaster in Russia, the tensions in the U.S.-China relationship, and economic-political cauldron of tensions in Eastern Europe demonstrate. Indeed, Albright's allies in the British-American-Commonwealth grouping are now pursuing further confrontation with Russia, through geopolitical machinations in the Caucasus region, which, if successful, put us on a pathway to World War III.

If Gore is not politically destroyed now, he and his apparatus will do everything possible to prevent the alliance between the U.S., China, Russia, and India around a new monetary system and the Eurasian Land-Bridge, that can uniquely stop a New Dark Age.

The second reason why Gore must be destroyed now is what

you might call "subjective," but it is as strategically important as the first. That is, the American people must understand that the toleration of a creature such as Gore, with the poisonous views he holds, has no place in the Democratic Party, or any other respectable party, for that matter.

Al Gore may appear to share the views of President Clinton, but, in fact, he is something totally different. Al Gore was the force in the Clinton administration who pressured the President to push through NAFTA and the anti-FDR welfare reform. Al Gore has led the crusade against the sovereignty of Third World nations like Malaysia, and consistently undermined the President's attempts at a strategic partnership with Russia and China.

Underneath that wooden exterior, Al Gore is a fanatical people-hater, in the same spirit as that of his correspondent Prince Philip of Great Britain. As his own writings testify, Gore hates the industrial progress which saves lives, and has taken the most outrageous actions which will **increase the death rate** among those (mostly non-white) populations which he thinks are increasing too rapidly.

In this pamphlet's second edition, we highlight the genocidal action which Gore took on the issue of saving AIDS victims in Africa, documenting his sabotage of less expensive life-saving treatment for South African victims of this deadly disease. This is followed by a distillation of dozens of articles which have appeared in *New Federalist* and *EIR* over the past months.

If you think you have to accept Al Gore because he has power and money, then you are no morally different than those who sat back and accepted Adolf Hitler, who had been tapped by the financial oligarchy. If you think that's extreme, read LaRouche's article on "Al Gore and Adolf Hitler," printed at the end of this pamphlet. We stand precisely before that kind of history-shaping choice — and you must take the moral road.

Nancy Spannaus
August 13, 1999



Gore is out to destroy Clinton—and you.

Al Gore Commits Genocide Against Africans

At a rally on June 28, 1999 in Philadelphia, some 3000 members of the coalition AIDS Drugs for Africa took part in a protest demonstration against Vice President Al Gore, who was in the city campaigning for the Democratic Presidential nomination. "Al Gore Kills for Greed," some of them shouted. The demonstrators demanded that Gore stop blocking access by South Africa to affordable, generic drugs to combat a spreading-HIV-AIDS epidemic.

Al Gore has been caught committing genocide against Africans with AIDS.

On one level, Gore is just acting with his usual corruption, on behalf of his backers. Large American pharmaceutical companies, who have poured money into Gore's presidential campaign, are determined to prevent South Africa from manufacturing its own cheaper anti-AIDS drugs, and Gore is doing their bidding.

But, more fundamentally, Gore's actions on behalf of these drug companies express his basic murderous philosophy. Because these actions will inevitably lead many more people, non-white people, to die.

South Africa, and other African nations and generally moral people everywhere, want to save the lives of people with AIDS. But not Al Gore. He wants to stop population growth! Read the way he put it in his environmentalist manifesto, **Earth in the Balance**:

"No goal (including saving lives — ed.) is more crucial to healing the global environment than stabilizing human population. . . The speed with which the change has occurred [population growth] has itself been a major cause of ecological disruption. . .

"Kenya . . . Egypt . . . Nigeria . . . all three countries are already putting great strains on their ecological systems so it is truly frightening to imagine the impact of doubling or tripling their numbers — not to mention the pitiful quality of life these extra scores of millions can expect."

Gore, who thinks Kenya, Egypt, and Nigeria have too many people, is just acting according to his genocidal

philosophy, when he denies life-saving drugs to the populous South Africa.

Disaster in Africa

There is no question that the Republic of South Africa is facing a genuine and terrifying national emergency. Between 3 and 6 million South Africans are estimated to have been infected. On July 22, before the House Subcommittee on Criminal Justice, Drug Policy & Human Resources, Subcommittee Chairman John L. Mica (R-Fla.), presented the following picture of the situation for Africa as a whole:

"More than 33.4 million adults and children are estimated to be infected with HIV/AIDS. This disease has already killed 14 million people, approximately 12 million in Africa. Today, more than 22.5 million Africans are living with HIV/AIDS."

At the same hearings, Rep. Bernie Sanders (I-Vt.), testified:

"While 90% of all AIDS deaths are from Sub-Saharan African countries, less than 1% of the world's AIDS drugs are sold there. Why? Because in countries like South Africa, where the annual per-capita income is a meager \$2,600, AIDS drugs cost up to \$1,000 for a month's supply."


Congressman Sanders went on to say about South Africa, that:

"This dire situation is expected to plunge life expectancy a full 20 years, from 60 to 40 years by the year 2008."

According to UN Secretary General Kofi Annan, speaking before the First Diana Princess of Wales Memorial Lecture, UN AIDS statistics show that every day, on average, 5,500 men, women, and children die from AIDS in Africa, especially in Sub-Saharan Africa.

Gore himself has acknowledged in a letter to the chairman of the Congressional Black Caucus that South Africa is being devastated by AIDS, which is anticipated to orphan more than 2.5 million South African children over the next 10 years.

Seroprevalence of HIV-1 for low-risk populations in Southern Africa



South Africa tries to save lives

In the face of this devastation, South Africa passed a bill in 1997 which would permit the country to produce generic drugs that could control AIDS, under the rubric of "compulsory licensing," or "parallel purchasing." These are procedures which are even permitted within the provisions of the World Trade Organization's free trade measures. "South Africa is prepared to pay reasonable royalties," according to James Love of the Center for the Study of Responsive Law.

In fact, the South African government has little choice if it wants to save lives. AZT, one of the drugs which has been shown to combat AIDS symptoms, sells for as much as \$6 a pill, when marketed by the U.S. drug companies. Thus a one month's supply would cost more than the annual salary of the average South African.

But, if the South African government could contract with the drug companies to manufacture a generic drug, the same amount could be produced for as little as 42 cents!

Enter Gore

But Gore, who chairs the U.S./South Africa Bi-National Commission, did not approve. In 1998, after the trade division of the Clinton administration had acted on complaints by the Pharmaceutical manufacturers' lobby to put South Africa on a "watch list" for its authorization of parallel imports, Gore made the issue of protecting pharmaceutical

property rights the central focus of his discussions with Deputy President Mbeki, who is now the South African president.

Once again, in February 1999, Gore met with Mbeki and raised his "concerns" regarding the South Africa Medicines Act, which would authorize the production of the generic drugs. In April, the U.S. Trade Representative again put South Africa on the "Watch List" due to the same issue.

South Africa has all the legal justification it needs. The WTO permits compulsory licensing in the event of a "national emergency," which the AIDS situation in that country surely is. Not only that, but the United States permits the procedures which South Africa is trying to implement in countless other cases. What's going on is racist hypocrisy, pure and simple.

The issue was raised in a hard-hitting way in an open letter by Ralph Nader and James Love to the Vice-President, dated April 2, 1999.

"It is gross hypocrisy to act as if South Africa is an outlaw in the world community because it is considering the use of compulsory licensing for essential medicines. But this is far worse than hypocrisy. Africa is confronted with a public health crisis of historical proportions. Of course South Africa should use compulsory licensing to expand access to essential medicines!

"This is an emergency, and millions of lives are at stake. Why should President Nelson Mandela, Deputy President Thabo Mbeki and [Health Minister] Dr. Nkosazana Auma permit their population to be defenseless simply because Glaxo Wellcome and Bristol-Myers Squibb want the power to set prices for U.S. taxpayer-funded and government-developed HIV/AIDS drugs in Africa? . . .

"Why is the U.S. doing this? Does it make any sense given the AIDS epidemic in South Africa? . . .

"It is the United States Government that is taking matters in its own hands and deploying an astonishing assault on South African sovereignty and critical public health responsibility. It is the United States Government that is literally asking South Africa to abandon the lives of millions of infected citizens in order to receive reductions in U.S. barriers to trade or economic aid. **What kind of Vice President are you if you cannot take time out from frantic political fundraising and today recognize the immorality of U.S. policy toward the South African people on this issue?"**

A genocidal vice-president, that's what kind. And he shouldn't be permitted to take such action anymore.

Al Gore: Fried Green Fascism

The following report is the text of a presentation which EIR Counterintelligence Director Jeffrey Steinberg delivered Feb. 14 to the Presidents' Day conference of the Schiller Institute/ICLC conference in Reston, Va.

In 1988, U.S. Senator Albert Gore Jr. ran for the Democratic Party Presidential nomination. Gore was as desperately, obsessively committed to being President of the United States in 1988—as he is today.

You can see the look of determination in his eyes, in this 1996 campaign photograph, that was taken by his wife, Tipper, and published in her family photo album, **Picture This.**

Appropriately, Senator Gore's 1988 national campaign headquarters was located in the Opreyland Hotel, the mecca of the Country & Western music world. Gore's campaign strategy was to score a big win on "Super Tuesday," the mega-primary day that grouped together most of the states of the Deep South. The plan was dubbed Gore's "Confederate strategy." It failed. Although Gore took some of the Southern states, he was badly beaten by a little-known New England liberal, Massachusetts Governor Michael Dukakis, in Florida and Texas. The African-American vote, throughout the South, went, overwhelmingly, to Reverend Jesse Jackson.

If Al Gore's performance was poor in the heart of the Confederacy, his record north of the Mason-Dixon Line was even more dismal. In the make-it-or-break-it primary election in New York, Gore was shunned by Governor Mario Cuomo and won a kiss-of-death endorsement from New York City Mayor Ed Koch. On primary day, Dukakis won 51% of the Democratic votes, Jesse Jackson won 37%, and Al Gore came in with barely 10%. Thus ended Al Gore's 1988 quest for the Presidency.

By the end of the New York primary campaign, Gore was in such a state of bipolar rage over his dismal performance that he resorted to desperate, outright racist cheap-shot attacks against his opponents. You can take the boy out of the Confederacy, but you can't so easily take the Confederacy out of the boy.

First, his one big New York political booster, Ed Koch, badly damaged Gore's chances, by declaring that Jews would have to be "crazy" to vote for Jesse Jackson, a disastrous blunder that Gore promptly compounded by publicly endorsing Israeli Prime Minister Yitzhak Shamir's vehement rejection of Ronald Reagan's call for a "land for peace" deal between Israel and the Palestinians. Gore's campaign publicist in New York, David Garth, had been Shamir's campaign manager, and was also a longtime political adviser to Ariel Sharon, the butcher of the Palestinian refugee camps at Sabra and Shatila.



Artist's rendition of a Gore family photo.

And the head of Gore's New York campaign organization was New York City Councilman Noach Dear, a founder of Rabbi Meir Kahane's Jewish Defense League terrorist gang, who never abandoned that racist cause.

Gore next one-upped Koch, in the closing moments of the New York campaign, by launching into a tirade against Dukakis for letting killers free on weekend furloughs from Massachusetts state prisons, so they could rape and murder. Yes, it was Al Gore—not George Bush—who launched the infamous "Willie Horton"

campaign. A Bush campaign staffer, Floyd Brown, watching the Gore-Dukakis-Jackson debate on television, merely followed up the lead provided by Gore. The same Floyd Brown would emerge, in 1992, among the most vicious slanderers of President Bill Clinton.

Al Gore's 1988 failed run for the Presidency taught him a bitter lesson: The traditional constituents of the Democratic Party—minorities, labor, senior citizens, and America's technology-oriented middle-sized businesses—universally rejected the Senator from Tennessee. It was a stinging defeat. But Al Gore and his inner circle of political handlers knew what to do next.

In the tradition of another unelectable tyrant of the 20th century, Adolf Hitler, Al Gore delivered a public manifesto, offering himself as a fully conscious, willing servant to the most genocidal faction within the British-American-Canadian financial oligarchy, the faction associated with the Duke of Edinburgh, Prince Philip, his son, Prince Charles, and their Dutch ally, Prince Bernhard. The same extended Anglo-Dutch "BAC" oligarchy, with its Morgan and Brown Brothers Harriman allies on Wall Street, had bankrolled Hitler's Nazi Party in 1932.

If the healthy "forgotten majority" of American voters were not prepared to back him, Al Gore was prepared to carry water for the heirs of Montagu Norman, J.P. Morgan, and Hjalmar Schacht.

Witness Al Gore's recent public tantrum against the Prime Minister of Malaysia, Mahathir Mohammed, who dared to cross swords with the Queen's speculator, George Soros. Witness the impeachable offenses committed by Al Gore, to salvage LTCM, David E. Shaw and other hedge funds, caught by surprise in last August's Russian freeze on commercial bank debt payments.

Gore's Book, 'Mein Planet'

Gore's Faustian manifesto, his own *Mein Kampf*, was published in 1992, under the title *Earth in the Balance*. Some of his more insightful critics promptly dubbed the book, *Mein Planet*.

Don't get me wrong. The publication of *Earth in the Balance* marked no political Damascus Road conversion for Al Gore. As the lifetime protégé of one of the most evil figures of the 20th century, Armand Hammer, Al Gore had already established his credentials as one of the more corrupt and shallow figures in American political life. His father, Albert Gore, Sr., had sold his soul to Anglo-Soviet Trust agent Hammer in the 1940s; and, even earlier, had been sponsored in politics by Bernard Baruch, a Confederate transplant to Wall Street, who would join forces with Lord Bertrand Russell, at the close of World War II, in an effort to create a world government, possessing a monopoly on the nuclear bomb. Gore Sr., after losing his U.S. Senate seat in 1970, assumed the Presidency of the Council for a Liveable World, which was founded by the original

"Dr. Strangelove," H.G. Wells's protégé, Leo Szilard.

This was the legacy that Al Gore had long since inherited from his father. As the head of the Congressional Clearinghouse on the Future and GLOBE, an international parliamentary group peddling various world federalist schemes, Al Gore, Jr. had already manifested a tree-hugger's love for every obscene New Age cure-all for the so-called ecological crisis. And Al Gore and Newt Gingrich had long ago forged a partnership as the "Third Wave"/Alvin Tofflerite Bobbsey Twins of Capitol Hill.

Two leading BAC figures, intimately tied to Prince Philip—Maurice Strong and Martin Palmer—have confirmed that Gore's personal ties to the British Royal Consort date back at least to the mid-1980s, when they began a correspondence that continues up to the present day. Gore and Prince Philip met, face-to-face, for the first time in Washington in May 1990, as the future Vice President was working on his manuscript, and as the head of the British oligarchy was staging a conference at the National Cathedral to revive paganism.

Meeting Prince Philip

At the time of that meeting, Prince Philip, the International President of the World Wildlife Fund, had recently published his own genocide tract, *Down to Earth*, and the impact on Gore's book is evident, from a simple comparison of the two texts, especially on the of the need to kill off as many dark-skinned human beings as possible—in the shortest time frame.

It is useful to focus on *Earth in the Balance* because it defines the menace of an Al Gore Presidency, most efficiently, within the larger context of the struggle between the nation-state system and an oligarchical Dark Age.

We have reached a moment in history, the end of a 500-year epoch, when the republican nation-state system and the system of feudal oligarchism and usury, can no longer co-exist on this planet. Either we defeat the oligarchy in the near-term, or we plunge, for several generations or more, into a Dark Age of unprecedented horror.

It is vital that each and every person in this room comes away from this conference with a clear understanding of why Al Gore must be destroyed in the immediate days and weeks ahead.

As Lyndon LaRouche has powerfully demonstrated, in a series of recent EIR studies, and in the pages of *The Road to Recovery*, Al Gore is as unelectable to the Presidency today as he was in 1988. But no one should take comfort in that reality.

For the moment, the British-directed assault on the Clinton Presidency has been severely setback, as the result of the Feb. 12, 1999 historic U.S. Senate vote, rejecting the two articles of impeachment. Al Gore is not yet occupying the Oval Office through BAC coup d'etat. And we can take a substantial amount of credit

for that most recent defeat of the British insurrection against our Republic, an insurrection that was underway before the ink had dried on our Federal Constitution, and that will not end with the defeat of the Clinton impeachment plot.

Do not rule out an assassination attempt against President Clinton, particularly as he moves to reassert his hold on the Presidency, and, most emphatically, if he joins what Lyndon LaRouche has labeled "The Survivors Club," by taking up the urgent task of creating a New Bretton Woods monetary system and bringing the United States fully into the Eurasian Land-Bridge great project. And do not underestimate our power as a political movement. If we do our job, here in the United States, and around the world, as the global financial and monetary collapse plays out, through an accelerating sequence of shocks, Clinton will be recruited to the "Survivors Club"!

We know the BAC oligarchy better than they know themselves. They rarely assassinate a prominent figure out of simple revenge. They assassinate when they feel that their immediate future interests may be jeopardized.

Remember; the present BAC forces are the heirs of the assassins of Alexander Hamilton, Abraham Lincoln, James Garfield, William McKinley, John F. Kennedy, Martin Luther King, Robert Kennedy. Thus, Major Lewis Mortimer Bloomfield, the British Special Operations Executive officer who headed the Perminindex assassination bureau that killed JFK and nearly murdered Charles De Gaulle, was the founder of the Canadian branch of Prince Philip's WWF, along with Al Gore's Maurice Strong; and he was a charter member of Prince Philip and Prince Bernhard's 1001 Club, the secret financial arm of global eco-fascism.

So, as long as Al Gore remains in office as Vice President, and as long as he enjoys the public perception that he is Bill Clinton's preferred successor, the enemies of this country, and of all civilization, will remain a heartbeat away from power in Washington.

As you will all read in **The Road to Recovery**, it is also our urgent responsibility to ensure that a viable candidate is nominated by the Democratic Party for the Year 2000 Presidential elections, if this nation and the world are to survive the onrush of this greatest global financial crash in modern history.

Without question, Lyndon LaRouche is the most qualified and viable candidate that the Democratic Party could nominate. Presumably there are others who could emerge as viable candidates, through the process of restoring the Democratic Party to its FDR tradition. As we saw in the January 1995 National Press Club speech by Senator Edward Kennedy, and in subsequent initiatives by Senators Bingaman and Daschle, and others, the impact of the world economic collapse, particularly the growing impoverishment of American working families, has provoked an "FDR reflex" among some leading Democrats. During 1995-1996, Al Gore, in league with Roy Cohn's own flesh and blood, Dick Morris, successfully crushed the efforts of Kennedy et al. to prevent the Democratic Party from being transformed into a pathetic imitation of the GOP of the "Contract on America." The fight against Al Gore is the fight for the soul of the Democratic Party.


Never mind that the Bush machine in the Republican Party is desperate to secure Al Gore the Democratic nomination. Anyone who recently saw George Bush Jr., "Shrub," showing his fangs in a one-hour C-SPAN interview, can appreciate why the Bush League desperately wants to run against Al Gore—rather than a real Democrat.

Not Fit For High Office: The Sallet Memo


So let's take a brief, clinical look at Al Gore, as he presents himself in the pages of **Earth in the Balance**.

Al Gore's green manifesto was published in 1992. It therefore instantly became an issue in the Presidential campaign, once Bill Clinton was induced to name Gore as his Vice Presidential running mate.

A DNC staffer, Jonathan Sallet, was assigned, on behalf of the Clinton-Gore campaign, to put together an "opposition research" memo on Gore's **Earth in the Balance**, in anticipation of a frontal assault against Gore's "deep" environmentalism, during a scheduled television debate with Dan Quayle. Quayle never raised the issue, and so the Clinton-Gore



'Earth in the Balance,' Al Gore's green-fascist tract.



'Down to Earth,' which is Prince Philip's Malthusian manifesto, had a huge impact on Gore.

campaign memo served as the only serious assault against Gore's green ideology during the 1992 campaign. Through channels unknown, Sallet's memo found its way onto the fax machine of Republican Minority Whip Dick Armey, and from there, it was published in the pages of the **Wall Street Journal**.

The Sallet memo read, in part: "Al is not qualified to be Vice President.

"He has no principles. He admits he has voted for programs in which he does not believe. . . .

"He's apparently guilt-ridden about the role of men in society and, perhaps, as a result of his own weakness, believes America as a whole is psychologically dysfunctional. . . .

"He is a bad scientist who doesn't care enough to get his facts straight. The fact is, we can't be certain that global warming or the level of CO₂ or even the changes in the ozone layer pose a threat as burdensome as the cost of Al Gore's proposals.

"Al is a radical environmentalist who wants to change the very fabric of America.

"He criticizes America for being America—a place where people enjoy the benefits of an advanced standard of living.

"He has no sense of proportion: He equates the failure to recycle aluminum cans with the Holocaust—an equation that parodies the former and dishonors the latter.

"He is a Luddite who holds the naive view that technology is evil and wants to abolish automobiles. . . .

"If Al Gore has his way, we would give up America's jobs and destroy the economy."

Not a bad compilation of sound-bite-sized snipes at Gore's kooky and dangerous views. In fact, it was probably the most insightful document produced by the DNC during the entire 1992 election. But, with all due respect, the Al Gore revealed in the pages of **Earth in the Balance** is a far more sinister enemy of humankind than those nasty one-liners convey.

Start with the question of population. Al Gore is as fanatically committed as Prince Philip to the reduction in world population—what the British Royal Consort refers to as "culling the human herd."

In the very first chapter of **Earth in the Balance**, Al Gore presents the same population curve Lyndon LaRouche has used for years as a pedagogical device, demonstrating the power of the nation-state system to show the tremendous positive population impact of Renaissance advances, as the efficient instrument for man truly realizing his creative potential, as a creature in the living image of God.

But Gore's message is exactly the opposite. For Gore, the fact that the emergence of the nation-state system and the consequent spread of scientific and technological progress has made it possible for billions of people to live and prosper, is a problem, a grave problem, a threat to the eco-system.

Gore wrote, "No goal is more crucial to healing the global environment than stabilizing human population. The rapid explosion in the number of people since the beginning of the scientific revolution—and especially during the latter half of this century—is the clearest single example of the dramatic change in the overall relationship between the human specie and the Earth's ecology system. Moreover, the speed with which this change has occurred has itself been a major cause of ecological disruption, as societies that learned over the course of hundreds of generations to eke out a living within fragile ecosystems are suddenly confronted—in a single generation—with the necessity of feeding, clothing, and sheltering two or three times as many individuals within those same ecosystems."

Remember that in 1972, that self-confessed British agent, Henry Kissinger, prepared National Security Memorandum 200, defining population growth in the developing world as a national security threat to the United States.

In **Earth in the Balance**, Al Gore fully endorsed Kissinger's call for Third World genocide. "Consider the plight of several countries, as estimated by the 'best case' scenarios projected by the United Nations Fund for Population Activities," Gore wrote. "Kenya, which now has 27 million people, will have within 30 years an estimated 50 million people. Egypt's population, 55 million people today, is increasing by an amount equal to the entire population of Israel every four years; Nigeria, which already has 100 million people, will have within thirty years at least 300 million people. All three countries are already putting great strains on their natural resources and threatening the integrity of their ecology systems, so it is truly frightening to imagine the impact of doubling or tripling their numbers—not to mention the pitiful quality of life these extra scores of millions can expect. Already new epidemics—from cholera to the Black Plague to AIDS—have emerged in societies knocked off balance by rapid population growth and the consequent disruption of their traditional patterns of living, and the degradation of their surrounding environments."

Never mind the policies of the International Monetary Fund, the consequences, for Africa, of a century of British and other imperial looting and plundering, which has moved into a more virulent post-modernist phase in the past several years. Never mind Prince Philip's SAS mercenary armies, disguised as game wardens, stalking human prey. Al Gore's racist assumption is that Africans must live within the centuries old boundaries of their "ecosystem," deprived of modern infrastructure and modern technology, that could transform the African continent into an oasis of prosperity, a breadbasket for the world—if the imperial looters were driven out. And most of all, Africa is not to develop into a continent of modern nation-states, based

on the principles of the American System. Population growth, in Al Gore's fractured universe, causes AIDS.

Gore's anti-technology bias is not, however, merely reserved for the developing world. "We have fallen victim," Gore writes elsewhere in the book, "to a kind of technological hubris, which tempts us to believe that our new powers may be unlimited. We dare to imagine that we will find technological solutions for every technologically induced problem. It is as if civilization stands in awe of its own technological prowess. . . . Technological hubris tempts us to lose sight of our place in the natural order and believe that we can achieve whatever we want to."

Gore's hatred of the nation-state system is further advanced in the pages of *Earth in the Balance* as he heaps praise on what he calls "post-national" entities, like Kurdistan and Kashmir. "In fact," Gore adds quite happily, "some people now define themselves in terms of an ecological criterion rather than a political subdivision. For example . . . 'Amazonia' is used by peoples of several nationalities in the world's largest rain forest, where national boundaries are often invisible and irrelevant."

Fractured Philosophy

But perhaps nowhere does Al Gore declare himself the enemy of the Judeo-Christian and Islamic doctrine of man in the living image of God, in more clearcut terms, than in his chapter-length tirade against Plato, which he titled "Environmentalism of the Spirit."

Gore chose to illustrate the chapter with a detail of Raphael's 1510 painting, "The School of Athens." The detail shows Plato, on the left, walking with Aristotle. Plato is pointing to the heavens, while Aristotle is pointing to the Earth. In Al Gore's fractured world, Plato represents the tradition of man divorced from nature, bearing no moral responsibility for the ecosystem. Plato, he claims, severed scientific truth from morality, and was, therefore, the father of all forms of totalitarianism, from the Roman Empire right up to Hitler and Stalin.

"One of the most influential thinkers in the early church, Saint Augustine," Gore wrote, "recounts how attracted he was, early in the fifth century, to Plato's view of the physical world and how he struggled to overcome his love of Platonic theory before he could 'rationalize' his acceptance of Christ's true message." And, later in the same chapter: "By assuming that the human intellect is not anchored in a context of meaningful relationships, with both the physical world and the Creator, Plato assured that later explanations of the workings of the world would become progressively more abstract."

Gore continued: "Francis Bacon is a case in point. His moral confusion—the confusion at the heart of much of modern science—came from his assumption, echoing Plato, that human intellect could safely analyze and understand the natural world without refer-

ence to any moral principles defining our relationship and duties to both God and God's creation." This leads Gore to condemn Plato as responsible for Hitler and Stalin: "Since the onset of the scientific and technological revolution, it has seemingly become all too easy for ultra-rational minds to create an elaborate edifice of clockwork efficiency capable of nightmarish cruelty on an industrial scale. The atrocities of Hitler and Stalin, and the mechanical sins of all who helped them, might have been inconceivable except for the separation of facts from values and knowledge from morality. . . . At the root of this belief lies a heretical misunderstanding of humankind's place in the world as old as Plato."

Aristotle, on the other hand, was, for Al Gore, the antidote to Plato's evil gnosticism. "The heritage of Aristotle's thought," Gore explained, "was kept alive principally in the Arabic-speaking world. Alexander, who was tutored by Aristotle, established his thought throughout the lands he conquered, and the city he chose as his capital, Alexandria, became the greatest center of learning in the ancient world. But for many centuries, the West was isolated from this intellectual tradition; only after the returning crusaders brought new ideas back to Europe did the West rediscover the other half of its Greek heritage. As the 13th century began, Europeans impressed with the intellectual achievements of Arab civilization discovered and translated several works of Aristotle—**Ethics, Politics, Logic**, among others—which had disappeared from Western thought but had been preserved in Arabic." According to Al Gore, it was this Aristotelian revival that eventually created the Renaissance, which he described as the "impulse to reconnect with the Earth."

Now, no one could accuse Al Gore of having an original thought. He freely credits Michael Novak, the theologian of the free market, in both the text and the acknowledgements, as a vital influence on his grasp of theology. He also pays homage to Teilhard de Chardin, and to James Lovelock, the modern-day reviver of Gaia, Earth Mother worship, for shaping his personal theology. Indeed, as a student at Vanderbilt University Divinity School in the early 1970s, studying under Professor Eugene Teselle, Gore was exposed to the Club of Rome's **Limits to Growth**, in a course called "Theology and the Natural Sciences." That genocidal tract, too, made an indelible impression on Gore.

The issue is not originality. The issue is: In words and in deeds, Al Gore is a conscious philosophical enemy of everything that the American Revolution and the American System represent in the world today. At a moment when the future of humanity hangs in the balance, politically destroying Al Gore is one of the most efficient means at our disposal to drive the Prince Philips, the George Soros, the Henry Kissingers, back into their cages, while humanity moves forward. Thank you.



1 A commitment to "re-invent government," which, in plain text, is translated as "eliminate the sovereignty of every nation on this planet"—including the United States. Shown here is bombing damage to the Baghdad Directorate of Military Intelligence Headquarters, displayed at a Pentagon briefing on Dec. 17, 1998.

3 A fanatical commitment to globalized slave-labor policies in the U.S. and every other nation of this planet. This photo shows a maquiladora assembly plant in Tijuana, Mexico. In the maquiladoras, workers are paid slave-labor wages, worked till they drop, then thrown on the scrap heap.



The Four Poisons of Al Gore



Earth Pledge

Recognizing that people in fact, through their
growing reliance on the environment and resources, are...

I PLEDGE, and for the best of this world, to...



2 The same fanatical blindness, in words and political practice, to all scientific and technological progress, which Gore shares with the convicted Unabomber terrorist Ted Kaczynski. Here, United Nations officials and others sign the "Earth Pledge," endorsing the unscientific idea of "sustainable development"—which, in reality, means dismantling industrial society.

4 A fanatic's commitment to radical reductions in what Gore has stated that he considers "excess populations," a commitment which is as brutal as, and more far-reaching than, the similar sentiments of Adolf Hitler. Are these Sudanese children to be the next victims of such a Malthusian policy?



Gore's Record of Corruption

Vice President Al Gore may go down in the history books as the most corrupt politician never elected President. His leading fundraisers read like a who's who of the late Meyer Lansky's National Crime Syndicate frontmen; and, as the son of the late Sen. Albert Gore, Sr.—of Armand Hammer infamy—Al, Jr. has covered up billions of dollars in political thievery by some of Russia's best-known "reformers."

Gore's assault on Malaysian Prime Minister Mahathir last November could have been scripted by billionaire speculator, nation-buster, and drug-legalizer George Soros; Soros's Quantum Fund gained notoriety in 1997 for leading a murderous hedge fund attack against Asian nations including Malaysia. His actions reversed 30 years of economic progress and plunged the region into economic collapse and social chaos from which it has not recovered.

Indeed, inspired by Gore's attack on the Malaysian Prime Minister, Soros came out days later demanding that the Mahathir government be overthrown!

Dirty Wall Street

In August 1998, David E. Shaw of D.E. Shaw investment was one of a group of "Who's Who of Wall Street," as the Jan. 11, 1999 **Washington Post** called it, who met with Al Gore to define strategy in the wake of the Aug. 17, 1998 Russian declaration of a suspension of payment on debt and GKO's (short term government debt). The Russian debt suspension sent the world financial markets into turmoil, causing large losses for Shaw. Just weeks before this meeting, around July 21, Shaw and seven members of his firm had poured \$40,000 into Friends of Al Gore, Jr., Inc., Gore's pre-Presidential political action committee. Shaw's contributions, followed by the meeting, raise the issue of bribery on national security matters, which comes under the standard of impeachment, defined by Article 2, Section 4 of the U.S. Constitution.

At the time of the meeting, D.E. Shaw had already taken big losses on hedge-derivatives bets. The Oct. 15, 1998 **Wall Street Journal** reported that BankAmerica had taken "a \$372 million write-down of a loan to D.E. Shaw & Co." The losses Shaw sustained, resulting in a \$372-million hit for BankAmerica, had come during the summer, but the information was withheld until Oct 13.

D.E. Shaw is no obscure little hedge fund. It is one of

the 25 largest investment banks on Wall Street, with \$1.7 billion in capital. It has grown so large that, according to the **Journal**, it was accounting for as much as 5% of all stock trades made in the U.S. in a day.

When Shaw faced near-death as a result of the Russia crisis, its execs turned to Gore to bail them out—at the expense of U.S.-Russian relations, and the Russian people.

Glicken

Although Attorney General Janet Reno cleared Gore of wrongdoing in the 1996 campaign finance probe, at least one of Gore's closest financial allies has recently pleaded guilty to campaign finance violations (he was ordered to pay \$80,000 and put in 500 hours of community service). Howard Glicken, a key fundraiser for Gore's 1988 Presidential campaign who later raised over \$2 million for the 1996 Clinton-Gore campaign, admitted he solicited illegal foreign contributions for 1992 Senate campaigns.

But Glicken's corruption runs far deeper. His Florida precious metals company, Metalbanc, was prosecuted as part of the Drug Enforcement Administration's "Operation Polar Cap" in 1991 for laundering the drug proceeds of the Medellin Cartel. Glicken avoided a long jail sentence by striking a deal with prosecutors that sent his partner, Harry Falk, to prison for 27 years. On May 5, 1997, Falk told the **Wall Street Journal** Glicken had used Metalbanc to launder funds into Gore's 1988 Presidential campaign.

Glicken tools around Coral Gables, Fla. in one or the other of a pair of Jaguars bearing the license plates "Gore-1" and "Gore-2."

Landow and Dear

Gore's 1988 national fundraising effort was headed by another "businessman" with alleged ties to organized crime, Maryland real estate millionaire Nate Landow. Landow was drawn into Democratic Party fundraising by the "prince of thieves," Robert Strauss, in Jimmy Carter's 1976 Presidential campaign. Landow had hopes of being named an ambassador, but his prospects were scotched as soon as the FBI began its background checks.

In the early 1970s, Landow invested in a Florida masonry company backed by the Gambino family loan-

shark Anthony Plate. Later in the 1970s, Landow hired Joe Nesline, Lansky's point-man in the nation's capital, as a "consultant" on a casino-building project in Atlantic City, N.J. In January 1978, Nesline's home was raided by the FBI, and documents were seized that identified Landow as one of his partners.

Gore's 1988 fundraising effort in New York was headed by Noach Dear, a former New York City Councilman who was part of the inner circle of the late Rabbi Meir Kahane, Jewish Defense League founder and terrorist. Dear's close ties to Gore did not stop him from launching into tirades against First Lady Hillary Clinton, following her comments on the right of Palestinians to national sovereignty.

Molten Metals

Peter Munk epitomizes the current generation of British-American-Commonwealth, or BAC, operators who, on behalf of the London-Wall Street-Toronto triangle of oligarchs, engaged in one of history's biggest colonialist raw materials grab. Munk's Barrick Gold Corp. has been plundering Africa, Indonesia, Ibero-America, and the United States, to corner a chunk of the world's untapped supply of gold and other precious metals, to ensure the BAC oligarchs are in a position to maintain choke-point control over the world economy.

Munk was one of 88 Canadians handpicked by Maurice Strong to become a member of Prince Philip's 1001 Club, the secretive funding arm of the World Wildlife Fund (now the World Wide Fund for Nature). Membership in Strong's "kindergarten" brings with it certain perks—among them, access to Vice President Gore.

In his 1996 authorized biography, Munk told writer Donald Rumball that, in the early days of the Clinton administration, he ran into a brick wall when he tried to grab U.S. federal land, using an arcane 1872 statute that provided for sale of such land to "miners" for \$5 per acre. Munk stood to make a killing, and he put all of his resources into twisting the U.S. Department of the Interior and the U.S. Senate to his point of view.

To curry favor with the Republicans in Washington, Munk had hired former Conservative Canadian Prime Minister Brian Mulroney as a Barrick director. Mulroney used "his close relationship with George Bush to good advantage." Bush got Munk access to key Senators, and they struck down legislation drafted by President Clinton's close ally Sen. Dale Bumpers (D-Ark.), that would have blocked Munk's scheme. Identical legislation had passed the House.

After he won the land-grab, Munk "swore he would never again allow himself to be sideswiped by political surprises." He created an International Advisory Board for Barrick including Mulroney and Bush, to institutionalize his Washington presence. There was more. "We needed access to Gore," he told Rumball. "And we needed Maurice Strong to take us to some key contacts to make sure our case was understood at the highest level."

Sources familiar with Munk's gold rush suggest that not only did Strong provide Munk access to Gore, but Gore is thought to have played an important role personally, in swaying Democratic Senators against the Bumpers bill.

Gore was also "Chairman Mo's" (the insider's term of endearment for Strong) witting or unwitting ally in another scheme, in which the Club of the Isles eco-freak personally managed to make millions, and for which he was, at one point, facing insider trading charges, a federal civil suit, and several Congressional investigations, with several top figures in the Gore campaign apparatus.

On Earth Day, April 17, 1995, Vice President Gore travelled to Fall River, Mass. to deliver a speech near the research plant of Molten Metal Technology, Inc., a firm which counted Strong among its leading stockholders and directors. The firm's registered lobbyist, Peter Knight, was Gore's top Senate aide (now one of the leading people in Gore's campaign). Gore's Harvard pal Vic Gatto was MMT's chief of government sales.

According to an Oct. 20, 1997 account in the *Ottawa Citizen* by Paul McKay, Gore heaped praise on MMT, calling the firm "a success story, a shining example of American ingenuity, hard work, and business know-how, all being used to clean up our environment, and at the same time provide jobs and economic growth."

The day after Gore spoke, shares in Molten Metal began to soar, doubling in a matter of months.

But hidden behind the Gore rhetoric was a sea of trouble. From its founding, MMT had received all of its funding from the Department of Energy—more than \$33 million—to produce a commercially viable waste conversion system, using high-temperature metal to decompose waste. The experiments had never worked, beyond the laboratory scale, and the company had spent almost all of its most recent annual grant money in just three months.

By 1995, the Department of Energy informed the firm that its research funding would be greatly scaled back, and soon cut off. Between December 1995 and September 1996, most of the company's officers, as well as director Maurice Strong, dumped millions of MMT shares—at peak market price of \$30 per share. The sales grossed \$15.3 million. On Oct. 20, 1996, a Sunday, after the insiders had made their killing, MMT issued a press release, and informed brokers via conference call, that government funds would be scaled back, and commercial ventures delayed. Next day, MMT stock plunged to \$5 a share.

The Russia File

For more than four years, high-level U.S. intelligence officials have reportedly been aware of the fact that Vice President Gore and some of his senior aides at the White House, have exercised covert political pressure to suppress evidence that Gore's leading Russian collaborator, former Prime Minister Viktor Chernomyrdin, head of the

predecessor to Gazprom, the most powerful company in Russia and one of the world's largest conglomerates, is guilty of extensive personal and political corruption.

According to **New York Times** author James Risen, in a Nov. 23, 1998 article titled "Gore Rejected CIA Evidence of Russian Corruption":

"The Vice President did not want to hear allegations that Mr. Chernomyrdin was corrupt, and was not interested in . . . intelligence reports on the matter." Risen wrote that one secret CIA report that went to Vice President Gore that contained what was considered "conclusive evidence" of Chernomyrdin's personal corruption, was returned to the Agency with a "barnyard epithet scrawled across its cover." Since then, Risen says that all reports on the Chernomyrdin subject stay **inside** the CIA.

Another of Gore's Russian favorites, Anatoli B. Chubais (fired from his position as First Deputy Premier by President Boris Yeltsin on March 23, 1998, the same day Yeltsin dumped Chernomyrdin for plotting against him), was also the subject of CIA reports on corruption in Russia.

Reader's Digest, in its February 1999 edition, shed more light on Gore's ties with Russia's mobsters. In an article titled "Dirty Diamonds," it tells the tale of three young Russian mafia diamond-dealers who stole up to \$180 million from Russia's highly secure national storehouses. **Reader's Digest** printed a photo of the Vice President with these very mobsters. The picture is identified as having been taken in 1994, during a political campaign event in California.

The **Reader's Digest** story was based on an explosive report, "The Looting of Russia," by David E. Kaplan and Christian Caryl, which appeared in **U.S. News & World Report** Aug. 8, 1998—complete with

the photo.

Kaplan and Caryl, following the joint investigation of U.S. FBI agent Joe Davidson and Moscow police officer Viktor Zhirov, uncovered details of how this looting of Russia occurred, through a San Francisco-based company, "Golden ADA," which supposedly was set up to develop a means for Russia to bypass the DeBeers diamond cartel. But, instead of developing its own market, it appears that Golden ADA simply took the Russian treasures and sold them outright to DeBeers, at rock-bottom prices—while siphoning off as much as one billion dollars in "fees."

Robbing Russia's 'Fort Knox'

According to **U.S. News & World Report**, Gore may have been influential in a certain kind of "damage control" that closed down the Davidson-Zhirov probe.

At one point in the investigation, Kaplan and Caryl report, investigators became worried that the Golden ADA scandal could become "Russia's Watergate." Steps were taken, sometime in late 1995 or early 1996: "In Washington, Justice Department officials briefed the staffs of the National Security Council and **Vice President Gore, who was then deeply involved in U.S.-Russian relations.** Davidson remembers feeling uneasy. 'We were worried about political interference,' he says. The FBI's team pressed on, amassing evidence of racketeering, theft, and money laundering. . . . Davidson thought [they] had a chance to blow the case wide open

"But then came some very bad news.

"The IRS was raiding the Golden ADA offices the very next day, seizing all the assets, and sending the principals fleeing. It took years to locate them. This meant the end of the criminal case."

According to the article, one of the names on the wiretaps was "Anatoli Chubais."

According to Russian media and court reports, the three Russians—two now in prison in Russia, and one on the lam—were allegedly working with highest-level officials, most notably then-chairman of the Precious Metals Committee Yevgeni Bychkov, who allegedly set up the Golden ADA. Some Russian reports have gone farther, naming Chernomyrdin and ex-Finance Minister Boris Fyodorov.

Investigating the nexus of Gore, Chernomyrdin, Chubais, Gore's national security aide Leon Fuerth, and Soros (whom Gore once intervened to protect from criminal investigation in Croatia), would be one of the greatest steps toward "fighting corruption" anyone could take.



EP/RS/Swark Lewis

Al Gore and Viktor Chernomyrdin yukking it up.

The Conspirators on Al Gore's Secret Team

Vice President Al Gore has been operating through both Clinton administrations with an extraordinary amount of influence on foreign policy. As of sometime in 1996, it became clear that the Vice President had constituted a virtual "Secret Team," à la Henry Kissinger's behind-the-scenes group during Watergate, which was effectively trying to run foreign policy, while the President was tied up with his political defense. This only intensified in 1997.

Gore's policy profile, contrary to popular opinion, is at odds with Bill Clinton's in many areas. Whereas President Clinton has sought respectful, strategic relations with Russia and China, in particular, Gore takes the side of the "hawk," a new "Cold Warrior." Gore is pro-Zionist, and committed to confrontations with nationalist leaders like Malaysia's Prime Minister Mahathir bin Mohamad—contrary to President Clinton. The Vice President's commitment to globalism is also ideologically hard, contrary to that of the President.

The agency which Gore has been able to use to push his policies through, during the Clinton administration, is known as the "Principals Committee," a group of leading foreign policy advisers who function **without the President** in making critical decisions, which they then seek to have Clinton rubberstamp. We profile leading members of the Principals Committee here, as well as one of Gore's chief "extra-governmental" collaborators, Martin Peretz of the New Republic.

Leon Fuerth

Leon Fuerth bristles at the suggestion that he has been the source of high-level White House national security leaks to Israel's Prime Minister Benjamin Netanyahu, thereby enabling the Greater Israel hawk to persistently sabotage the Middle East peace process. "Ridiculous," he told the **Washington Post's** Thomas Lippman, during a rare interview, published on June 16, 1998.

Fuerth had good reason, in Lippman's words, to

"sputter with anger" at the charges, emanating from the State Department. As Vice President Albert Gore, Jr.'s foreign and national security policy chief, as the only non-Cabinet member of the powerful Principals Committee, and as the Clinton administration's number-one war hawk, Fuerth is unquestionably aware of the FBI's two-year hunt for an Israeli mole in the White House who is codenamed "Mega."

In January 1997, the National Security Agency (NSA) intercepted a phone conversation between a Mossad agent at the Israeli embassy in Washington and the then-boss of the Mossad, Danny Yatom, in Tel Aviv, in which the field agent sought permission to ask "Mega" to obtain a particularly sensitive diplomatic communiqué between Secretary of State Warren Christopher and Palestinian Authority President Yassir Arafat. The Mossad chief angrily reprimanded his agent, as well as the Israeli ambassador in D.C., for raising the Mega matter. Mega's job was to steer Clinton White House policy in support of Israeli national interests—not to steal documents or carry out other lesser tasks.

Last year, one well-placed U.S. intelligence source told **EIR** that the hunt for Mega was still ongoing, and that it had been determined that the mole was in the office of Vice President Gore—**not** on President Clinton's staff!

Whether or not the Mega probe leads to Fuerth's door, the Veep's longest-serving aide, the man who has been described as Al Gore's "mind-meld," is shrouded in mystery.

After a three-year stint as a second lieutenant in the U.S. Air Force, where he was assigned to intelligence work at Keesler Air Force Base in Mississippi, Fuerth briefly returned to New York University, where he enrolled in a Ph.D. program in Russian diplomatic history, a program which he never completed. By 1968, he was in Washington, as a Foreign Service Officer, assigned to the State Department's Bureau of Intelligence and Research (INR). He did one brief over-



EPHNS/Stuart Lewis

Leon Fuerth



EPHNS/Stuart Lewis

Madeleine Albright



Department of Defense

William Cohen

seas stint in Zagreb, Yugoslavia, but spent most of his 11 years—at the height of Henry Kissinger's power in the Nixon administration—in an obscure office at INR, the Office of Strategic Analysis. Fuerth says this was "a place that nobody really knew about . . . the place where the State Department is connected with the rest of the intelligence community on strategic intelligence matters."

In 1979, Fuerth left State to work for the House Permanent Select Committee on Intelligence. He was assigned to work with one of the Committee's junior Democrats, Al Gore.

Gore had already singled himself out as the House's leading "futurist," and now, under Fuerth's tutelage, Gore, an asset of Soviet agent-of-influence Armand Hammer, threw himself into the world of arms control, a field historically dominated by advocates of world government through nuclear terror. Gore described the tutorials with Fuerth, to the *Post's* Lippman: "We spend 13 months studying, probably eight hours a week, every aspect of the nuclear arms race." A *Business Week* senior reporter, Stan Crock, called it a "mind meld."

Riding Gore's coattails, Fuerth quickly emerged as the biggest hawk in the Clinton administration, and, as some former White House personnel report, his position with Gore is unassailable.

Item: When ex-President George Bush travelled to Kuwait in March 1993, a bogus report was surfaced that Iraq's Saddam Hussein had dispatched a hit team to exact revenge on the "man from Desert Storm." While both the CIA and FBI were still pursuing leads on the alleged hit plot, Gore and Fuerth **conducted their own probe** and "concluded" that the story was accurate. Fuerth pressed for bombing Iraq, arguing

that President Clinton would lose all foreign policy credibility if he failed to act.

In June 1993, Fuerth, with the full support of Gore, convinced the President to launch a missile attack on Iraq. The CIA and FBI both later weighed in with proof that the story about the planned hit against Bush was a hoax. (In 1991, at Fuerth's urging, Gore was one of the few Democrats—but the decisive one—to fully support Bush's Desert Storm.)

Since 1993, there has hardly been a Middle East showdown that Fuerth has not pushed. **The New Republic**, owned by another Gore mind-melder, his former Harvard professor Martin Peretz, predicted, with confidence, in early December 1998, weeks before the Desert Fox operation, that Fuerth would prevail in the White House faction fight, and that there would be a bombing campaign against Iraq.

One week later, President Clinton, boxed in by the Fuerth-dominated Principals Committee, okayed the bombing. Ashton Carter, an ex-Department of Defense official, told **The New Republic** for its article, "Fuerth in Line," that "nobody would make a decision without including Fuerth."

And, of course, with the Vice President in charge of a string of bilateral government-to-government commissions, the most infamous of which is the Gore-Chernomyrdin Commission (now, technically, the Gore-Primakov Commission), Washington sources confirm that Fuerth is the day-to-day manager of U.S. relations with Moscow, a position he has used to peddle sanctions against Russian military firms, to sabotage the revival of Russia's domestic food-production and -processing capacities, and to undermine U.S.-Russian space collaboration.

A former leading Gore staffer recently told a Washing-



DoD Photo

Gen. Henry Hugh Shelton

ton-based reporter that it was also Fuerth who arranged Vice President Gore's behind-closed-doors sessions with George Soros, Maurice Greenberg, David E. Shaw, and a dozen other Wall Street bigwigs—sessions that involved impeachable actions on the part of the Vice President. Fuerth's involvement in the suspected Gore-

Wall Street bribery and influence-peddling scheme could land the secretive staffer in front of a Congressional Committee, where he will have no choice but to divulge his role in the events of August-September 1998.

Madeleine Albright and William Cohen

The appointment of Albright to the Cabinet is widely admitted to have been a Gore "coup" following the 1996 election victory. Albright is particularly dangerous because of her vanity and incompetence. She loves the limelight, even if she must start a crisis to get it. Despite her incompetence, Albright is included in our profile, because of her usefulness to Gore on the "Principals' Committee," the group of Cabinet officials involved in matters of national security, who make decisions—without the President!

The appointments of Albright and Defense Secretary William Cohen were blatant efforts by Gore and his adviser Leon Fuerth to stack the Committee. But they were also aided by the Confederates in the Senate and the media, who obstructed Clinton's nominees from being confirmed to appointments where they could advise the President on national security matters. Former National Security Adviser W. Anthony Lake was the victim of a political lynching by Senators Jesse Helms (R-NC) and Richard Shelby (R-Ala), when Clinton nominated him as CIA director in 1997; his nomination was withdrawn. U.S. Army General Ralston was nominated as Chairman of the Joint Chiefs of Staff, but his nomination was shot down, when decades-old stories of adultery were dredged up against him. Ralston's ouster paved the way for the appointment of Confederate "good ole boy" Gen. Henry Hugh Shelton, the first Special Forces general to ever attain the chairmanship of the Joint Chiefs. Shelton's Special

Forces plan is the core of the Iraq war plan being promoted by Gore and Albright.

Albright is determined to keep the flames of war raging. As efforts in the UN National Security Council were being made in late January to pull the Iraq situation away from the precipice of war, and as U.S. Central Command chief Gen. Anthony Zinni was warning Congress of the fallacies of the Iraq Liberation Act, Albright was busy bombarding Arab states with diplomatic discussions designed to head off any efforts to end sanctions against Iraq, or to criticize the work of Anglo-Zionist agents like Richard Butler and Scott Ritter inside UNSCOM.

After her meetings in Egypt, Albright declared that the "fundamental goals" that Egypt ought to "share" with the United States, included "preventing Iraq from threatening its neighbors [and] blocking Saddam Hussein's efforts to reconstitute or use his weapons of mass destruction." A detailed review of all her statements about Iraq and the Middle East, including her appearance with Gore on the "Larry King Live" broadcast on the night that the Iraq bombings began, shows that she has not uttered one word about Iraq for the past year that did not originate with either Israeli or British Intelligence.

Albright was drilled in national security matters by her former professor, Zbigniew Brzezinski, including his "Arc of Crisis" ideology, that geopolitical interests were served by a state of perpetual war in the Middle East, which could be achieved by promoting "Islamic fundamentalism."

Reflecting her dependence on Israeli and British disinformation, Albright branded the nation of Sudan a "viper's nest of terrorists," accusing the government of having plotted to assassinate Egyptian President Hosni Mubarak, during the 1995 summit of the Organization of African Unity in Ethiopia. The allegations against the Sudanese government turned out to be a tissue of lies. In August 1998, when U.S. missiles rained down on a pharmaceutical factory in Khartoum, Sudan, Albright was a member of the Principals Committee that made the decision. Later, both the CIA and members of the Joint Chiefs of Staff who had been excluded from the bombing decisions, showed that the "intelligence" against Sudan was again faulty.

Martin Peretz

One of the most powerful "Third Way" Zionists on the political scene is Marty Peretz, owner of the **New Republic** and a Harvard professor, who was once considered a financial "angel" of the New Left anti-Vietnam War and other radical causes. Peretz exerts a good deal of control over Gore's policies, while he ridicules President Bill Clinton both in print, and in conversations.

In an interview just after the "Desert Fox" bomb-

ing of Iraq, which was set up by the fraudulent report by UNSCOM head Richard Butler, Peretz told journalist Scott Thompson that there are very serious differences between Clinton and Gore on the Middle East, on Russia, as well as on just about every other front.

Peretz, who has styled himself as Gore's "mentor" since 1965, when the 17-year-old Gore first joined Peretz's Harvard seminar, proclaimed, "Al Gore has a much deeper understanding of foreign and defense policy." Peretz said that President Clinton had long held the view, as he had expressed it in 1993, that he would rather confer and reach a diplomatic solution with Saddam Hussein than fight him. Peretz said, "Clinton still holds to this belief, although the Vice President never talks publicly about his differences with the President."

There are two little-known facts about Peretz that underscore his crucial part in the Gore conspiracy.

First, Peretz hates Lyndon LaRouche, and played a significant, although not high-profile, role in the "Get LaRouche" task force, which went into high gear in 1982-83, when two crucial LaRouche policies came to the fore: an anti-International Monetary Fund, pro-nation-state policy known as "Operation Juárez," implemented by Mexican President José Lopez Portillo; and the March 23, 1983 announcement by President Ronald Reagan of the Strategic Defense Initiative (SDI), which would be developed **cooperatively** between the United States and the Soviet Union, as LaRouche had proposed. Like his toady Gore, Peretz has an unofficial assignment to keep any President of the U.S. from ever again collaborating with LaRouche.

The "Get LaRouche" task force, which ultimately framed up LaRouche and had him sent to prison 10 years ago, was financed by Richard Mellon Scaife, the right-wing billionaire who today finances the persecution of President Clinton, through the "private-sector" side of the "Get Clinton" task force. In 1984, Peretz used the **New Republic** to expose sensitive connections between President Reagan and LaRouche, who had been acting in 1982-83 as a back channel for Reagan in discussions with the Soviets over a proposed joint implementation of what became known as the SDI.

Second, Peretz is a Zionist fascist, who believes that anything is excusable in the name of Zionism. In his essay "The God that Did Not Fail," for the **New Republic's** symposium on "Zionism at 100," Peretz wrote: "The Zionists . . . invented modern Palestine. . . . [It is] true that there were Arab **felaheen** . . . but they had little or no conception of Palestine as a country." Peretz continued: "Didn't Jewish soldiers sometimes beat up on innocent Arab town-dwellers and even drive

some of them into another part of historic Palestine? The answer is . . . 'yes.' . . . But Zionism permits us to admit this without flinching."

Peretz's Zionist networks are precisely those who support the Jewish fanatics who carried out the Nov. 4, 1995 assassination of Israeli Prime Minister Yitzhak Rabin, President Clinton's close collaborator in the peace effort. In February 1998, when the most recent Iraqi war crisis began to erupt—with much help from Israeli Prime Minister Benjamin Netanyahu—Peretz teamed up with former Reagan Undersecretary of Defense Richard Perle, who is widely identified as the most important Israeli spy in the United States. Acting through a hastily formed ad hoc committee on national security, Peretz and Perle co-signed a letter to Clinton with some 40 other former U.S. military, political, and elected officials, to demand that **nothing short of overthrowing Saddam Hussein** should be the policy of the United States. When Clinton ignored the pressure, Peretz and Perle, operating through the Zionist lobby's Washington Institute on Near East Policy (WINEP), went to the right-wingers in Congress, to have them pass the "Iraq Liberation Act." This hare-brained scheme aimed to appoint a "provisional government" made up mostly of London-based opposition groups, and to give them about \$100 million, with back-up from U.S. military forces to overthrow Saddam Hussein.

By September 1998, with Kenneth Starr's witchhunt against the President burning white-hot, Peretz used the **New Republic** to promote the "deviant Democrats" who demanded Clinton's resignation.

But it was Clinton's decision in November 1998 to call off the Iraqi bombing that most enraged Peretz.

Even the "Desert Fox" attack on Iraq on Dec. 16, 1998, didn't appease Peretz, who said, "70 to 120 hours of missile attack are not really going to disturb Saddam Hussein's hold on Iraq. . . . One of the differences of a Gore administration is that a President Al Gore, Jr. would not be embarrassed to embrace the idea of the overthrow of Saddam Hussein."

Peretz charges that almost everybody in the administration is constrained by Clinton's reluctance to destroy Iraq, and that Albright only "gives lip service" to ousting Saddam Hussein. Although Peretz claims to play a significant role in Gore's choice of Albright as Secretary of State, he qualifies, "You must remember that despite her tough talk, [she] came from the dovish side of the Democratic Party. . . . On the one hand she calls Hussein another Hitler," he complains. "And then she takes the first deal with [UN Secretary General] Kofi Annan" for the February 1998 settlement with Iraq that averted war at that time.

Al Gore And Adolf Hitler

by Lyndon H. LaRouche, Jr.

December 22, 1998

This article first appeared in the Jan. 15 issue of Executive Intelligence Review.

The currently ongoing coup d'état, aimed to bring Vice President Al Gore quickly into the U.S. Presidency, was proposed publicly, by former U.S. Secretary of State Henry A. Kissinger, in the October 15 London **Daily Telegraph**. More recently, in the December 15 edition of the **Wall Street Journal**, former Nixon aide Clay T. Whitehead, described that type of secret committee which Kissinger was proposing for a Gore coup d'état, as it had operated inside the Nixon administration. This was, in fact, the so-called "Watergate" operation which Kissinger coordinated under Vice President Ford.

Whitehead's version of Kissinger's proposals, then and now, coincides exactly with a series of coordinated actions, which have been operating within the Clinton Administration, largely behind President Clinton's back. These operations, centered around Vice-President Al Gore, have been pushed as a plan of action for preparing the ouster of the President.

Neither of these two insider "secret committees," neither that which operated against Nixon under Kissinger, nor the not-so-secret "national security" cabal now aligned against Clinton, behind Gore, is really original. As the writer Plutarch would have described it, there is an ominous parallel between the presently attempted coup d'état against President Clinton, and the way in which the government of Germany's Chancellor Kurt von Schleicher was overthrown, on January 28, 1933, by Hjalmar Schacht and London-backed forces inside the German parliament, to hustle Adolf Hitler into power.

To see the connection clearly, first consider crucial highlights of the process through which a then-other-

wise unelectable candidate Hitler was brought into the Chancellorship, on January 30, 1933, and to dictatorial power on February 28, less than one month later. The same London-centered, Anglo-American financier interests, now represented by Prime Minister Tony Blair, which brought the then virtually unelectable Hitler to power in Germany, are behind the use of a rabid Anglophile, the virtually unelectable Presidential candidate Al Gore, in the effort to run a parliamentary coup d'état now, against Clinton, as against von Schleicher earlier.

Both the motive and the method behind these coup d'états are so nearly identical, not only in astonishing fineness of certain details, but in crucial strategic implications, as to scare the pants off any U.S. citizen still capable of honest thinking.

It is time for us all to say, "Never again!" and let Al Gore serve out the remainder of his term, in that quiet and obscurity which our nation's security interest demands for him.

Schacht and Hitler

If we examine both matters in their crucial, political-economic setting, there is a precise parallel between the British Commonwealth-directed launching of the "Whitewatergate" targetting of President Bill Clinton, by the failed re-election campaign of President George Bush, during 1992, and the earlier process leading to Hitler's legal coup d'état of January 28-February 28, 1933. The economic issues, in both cases, are defined most sharply, by comparing the global crisis-developments which burst into the open during October 1997, with the collapse of Germany's discredited Social-Democratic government and party, in the aftermath of the 1929 U.S. stock-market panic.

The attempt of the Social-Democratic government

to find a "Third Way" between the German population and maddened international bankers, led to the fall of that government, and an ensuing succession of "ministerial" governments, from March 30, 1930 (after the second government of Tony Blair "lookalike" Ramsay MacDonald had been installed, on June 8, 1929), through President Hindenburg's appointment of Adolf Hitler on January 30, 1933.

The key, London-directed figure of Germany throughout this period was Brooklyn, N.Y.-born Hjalmar Horace Greeley Schacht, a life-long asset of the J.P. Morgan interests, with direct control over Schacht exerted by the Bank of England's Montagu Norman. This was the same Norman who was the controller of the financial house of Averell Harriman, during all of the relevant years leading up to and beyond the Hitler coup d'état. It was Schacht, deployed by Norman, to bring the New York bankers into support for Hitler, who, assisted by British intelligence services, organized the German side of the Hitler coup d'état against the government of Kurt von Schleicher (and Hitler's later assassination of von Schleicher).

The Anglo-American financiers' immediate motive for bringing Hitler to power, was the commitment of those London and New York bankers behind Schacht, to prevent the implementation of an economic-recovery policy designed by a brilliant key figure, Dr. Wilhelm Lautenbach, of the pro-U.S.A. Friedrich List Society. Notably, the Lautenbach plan, like the tradition of Friedrich List himself, expressed the political-economic philosophy of the founders of the U.S.A., and was the only policy, other than the like-minded President Franklin Roosevelt's U.S. recovery policy, which could have provided a democratic solution for what is known in most history books as "The Great Depression." This Lautenbach plan, which echoes the November 1989 recovery plan of Deutsche Bank's assassinated Alfred Herrhausen, was the intended economic recovery program for a von Schleicher government in Germany. It was Norman's puppet Schacht,



The cover of the Jan. 8, 1999 issue of EIR, featuring LaRouche's article on Gore and Hitler, showed this photo of Hitler reviewing the troops, with Hjalmar Schacht at his side.

who deployed to New York, to mobilize Wall Street support for a coup d'état to bring Hitler immediately into power in Germany.

Notably, Schacht motivated the coup d'état, by libelling the Lautenbach plan, and von Schleicher, as "Bolsheviks" who had to be stopped, just as Gore's backers use the foolish Gore as their spearhead for putting an "enemy image" on the faces of the pro-national sovereignty governments of Mexico, China, Russia, Malaysia, et al. Gore's deployment, on behalf of the British Duke of Edinburgh's Transparency International, to classify defense of national sovereignty as "corruption," shows the motive behind rabid Anglophile Gore's bloody hand in the situation today.

In a situation similar to that of 1932-1933 Germany, today, the only workable alternative for the presently ongoing disintegration of the world's financial system, is the "New Bretton Woods" program which I have introduced. With the full confirmation of my warning of an October 1997 crisis, that "New Bretton Woods" orientation went immediately onto a leading place on the world's agenda. It met resistance from the same species of sources (e.g., London's neo-Thatcherite, neo-MacDonald Prime Minister Tony

Blair), which resisted the Lautenbach plan during 1931-1933. Essentially, it is the same Anglo-American financier interests, who backed Hitler and opposed Franklin Roosevelt, during 1933-1938, who are behind the use of Al Gore as their cat's-paw today. The economic, strategic, and social consequences of a Gore coup d'état now, would be similar to, but far worse than the outcome of the original Hitler coup d'état.

The result of that reaction, is the deployment of what Kissinger and Clay T. Whitehead have described as a "secret committee" organized to prepare for a coup d'état against the U.S. Constitution and its incumbent President, Bill Clinton.

It must be emphasized, again, that the strategic implications of such an Anglo-American national-security posture, under presently unfolding world economic, political, and social conditions, would, if tolerated, ensure a far more devastating result than Anglo-American bankers' unleashing of the 1933 Hitler coup.

The flavor of 1932-1934

The chronology of the most crucial related developments in the U.S.A., Britain, and Germany during 1929-1934, gives the flavor of the situation—then, and now.

The count-down to the Hitler coup d'état began in Paris, with the fateful prelude to the outbreak of the Great Depression, the June 7, 1929 failure of the Young Plan to provide workable alternatives for the looming international disaster posed by the unresolved issues of Germany's war-reparations debt. The immediate next shoe to drop, came in England, with the June 8, 1929 formation of the second government of Labour Party Prime Minister (James) Ramsay MacDonald. MacDonald's quasi-fascist government, which remained in office until June 7, 1935, is the forerunner of today's Blair regime. This was followed by not only the October 24, 1929 stock-market panic in New York, but also the November 9, 1932 election of "American Methods" spokesman Franklin Roosevelt as President-elect of the U.S.A. Notably, Wall Street and London adversary Roosevelt was, in economics, a co-thinker of the American System political-economic policies of Germany's Wilhelm Lautenbach.

The Anglo-American bankers responded to this crisis of the Young Plan's failure, by pulling down the Grand Coalition government of Social-Democratic Chancellor Hermann Müller, on March 27, 1930. The coup d'état was set off by a rigged crisis in the German government. The issue of the second reading of the doomed Young Plan, was turned into a de facto coup d'état by the abrupt resignation, on

March 7, of the British bankers' agent Hjalmar Schacht from his position as president of Germany's central bank, the Reichsbank. In the ensuing parliamentary crisis, the Müller government collapsed on March 27, and the "Ramsay MacDonald of Germany," the brutal Heinrich Brüning, was installed on March 30.

From that point, until the London-run coup d'état against the von Schleicher government, thus bringing the otherwise unelectable Hitler to power in January 30, 1933, Germany was ruled by a series of four ministerial regimes, all of which, excepting the von Schleicher government, were tools of Schacht and the de facto Schacht-controlled Center Party.

That period, from 1928 through the September 12, 1932 collapse of the von Papen government, saw a combined, sudden collapse in the Social-Democratic vote for the national parliament, and a zooming upward of the Nazi vote. However, with the progressive self-discrediting of the Social-Democratic Party, the fall of the von Papen government was echoed by a significant turn away from the Nazi party, too. Schacht regarded the possibility of a coup d'état against von Schleicher, as London's last chance to keep the Hitler option alive. London and Wall Street were alarmed by the coincidence of Roosevelt's November 9, 1932 status as President-elect of the U.S.A., and sought to prevent von Schleicher and Lautenbach's American System economic policies coming into power in Germany.

So, the British, together with London's assets Morgan and Harriman, joined London in deploying the parliamentary coup d'état against von Schleicher, and bringing Hitler into power.

An ominous lesson for today

There is another bitter lesson for today, to be learned from the later events of 1933-1938. The immediate, foolish response to Hitler's appointment as Chancellor, from the Communists, Social-Democrats, and others, was, in effect, "Wait until the Germans begin to see what Hitler is like; they will turn to us." It did not work out that way. See the tempo of events leading into Hitler's consolidation of dictatorial and strategic power.

Von Schleicher was ousted on January 28, 1933. Hitler was made Chancellor on January 30. On the night of February 27, the unprotected German parliament building, the Reichstag, was burned down in an arson attack. That incident was used by the Nazi government of Prussia, under Hermann Göring, to launch a wave of terror against the political opponents and rivals of the Nazis. The power of government by decree, under the terms of the so-called *Notverordnung*, was activated, giving Hitler power of rule by emer-

agency decree. By April 7, the *Gleichschaltung* laws, Nazi Propaganda Minister Joseph Goebbels' echo of Prince Metternich's 1819 Carlsbad *Beschlüsse* (decrees) were installed, coinciding with the purging of Jews from influential positions in Prussian society. The Nazi book-burning was launched on May 10.

Hitler's dictatorship was consolidated rapidly during the following months. In the famous plebiscite of November 1933, the Nazi vote reported was more than 96 percent of the total. The end to effective resistance came in the Summer of 1934, approximately a year and a half after Schacht's orchestration of the coup d'état against the von Schleicher government. This consolidation of Hitler's personal power as a dictator, came in three developments:

1. The "night of the long knives," the so-called Röhm purge, which eliminated not only potential challengers to Hitler from within the populist-based "brown shirts" of his own party, but also provided the occasion to assassinate a key target of Schacht's hatred, former German Chancellor and General Kurt von Schleicher.

2. The convenient death, on Aug. 2, of President Paul von Hindenburg.

3. Hitler's use of the occasion of President Hindenburg's death to supersede the elective position of President by Hitler's perpetual personal authority as Führer.

Thus, on August 19, 1934, Hitler's dictatorship was consolidated. By the close of 1938, with the October march into Czechoslovakia, and the November "Crystal Night" against Jews in Berlin, Hitler was politically positioned to unleash a new world war.

Such are the disasters suffered by those people of a nation which tolerates the sort of coup d'état being run now against the U.S. Constitution and President, a coup being run by those Republicans and others, who have stated their disposition to welcome the otherwise unelectable Al Gore immediately into the office of President.

Schacht and Gore

To speak with absolute objectivity, Al Gore personally is an ideologically perverted, wooden-headed fool, utterly lacking in visible cognitive intelligence, but with a sly and viciously backstabbing cowardice, nonetheless, a shameless tory fan of the British monarchy, and crony and bloody-handed accomplice of that Ramsay MacDonald-style fascist "mad bomber" Tony Blair. Politically, he is thoroughly owned by the same crew which created his political career, those intimate circles of the British royal family, most notably the Duke of Edinburgh and Prince Charles, with whose pet peeves and perverted causes British lackey Gore became associated through his patron and controller Armand

Hammer.

What Gore is, personally, is essentially nothing. What he is, politically, is a tool of such others as do not flinch from soiling their hands by taking over, manipulating, and, in time, discarding, with equal shamelessness the same pathetic political creatures, such as Gore, whom they have not merely used, but used up. It is easy to have contempt for a Gore, but, in himself, he, like the village idiot and resident firebrand, while a significant public security risk, is, like any pathetic mental case, hardly deserving of such strong emotion as personal malice. So, he is being used by Henry A. Kissinger et al., just as Kissinger set up the President he manipulated, Richard Nixon, for self-destruction in the Watergate affair. If you recognize Gore as the pathetic bi-polar personality he is, you can readily understand how he is manipulated by those, of the likes of Henry Kissinger, who use him, as if he were a mere puppet on a string.

The Gore problem lies entirely in two matters. First, the strategic purpose for which he, as a mere dupe of his own pathetic ambitions, is being used. Second, the disgusting corruption shown by those, like a Clay T. Whitehead or cronies of the Henry A. Kissinger and Bush pack, who wittingly betray the United States itself in such ways as to put not only this nation, but much of the world at strategic risk. This is a risk so grave that no standard of comparison from the earlier experience of this century, even two World Wars, measures the sheer awfulness of the treasonous things those accomplices of the "secret committee" operations around Gore are doing.

On the Gore issue, it is thus time for Americans and others to face the kinds of facts which the German population of 1932-1933 refused to face. The comparison of the coup d'état around Gore with the earlier case of Hitler, is not only justified; it is morally urgent that that comparison be made, far and wide, and loud and clear.

Gore must merely learn to play the Cheshire Cat for those childish occasions, such as costume parties, he finds most compatible with his limitations; let him, thus, fade, giggling happily, but quietly, from the scene. Blair, meanwhile, must be removed. Unless those two related measures are taken, no part of this planet will be safe for anyone much longer. All Hell is about to bust loose in the weeks just ahead. Wake up! Put Gore quietly into the background, and Blair out of the way of doing more harm than he has done, not only to Britain, but the world, already. Anyone who has yet to understand the importance of forcing through those two actions now, knows nothing of much importance about world events in progress today.

Read To Change the World!

'We're going to have to remoralize our people. We're going to have to make them aware of what the higher level of thinking is. We've got to have them become **less mediocre, more moral, more optimistic, more self-respecting.**

'But we will fail, unless we mobilize the inside of the heads of individual people, starting with the President of the United States, to cause each to provide the leadership, or play the other crucial roles that each must play, in order to make this attempt at a global reconstruction of civilization work.'

—Lyndon H. LaRouche, Jr.
September 5, 1998

SUBSCRIBE to the Periodicals of the LaRouche Political Movement!



Executive Intelligence Review (EIR)

LaRouche and EIR have been right—While others have ignored reality.

EIR \$396/50 issues



The New Federalist

You read it first in The New Federalist!

New Federalist \$35/100 issues

Fidelio

Journal of Poetry, Science, and Statecraft

'It is through beauty that one proceeds to freedom.'

—Friedrich Schiller

Fidelio \$20/4 issues



21st Century Science & Technology

Expand your world view!

21st Century Science & Technology \$25/6 issues



ORDER TODAY! CALL TOLL-FREE: 888-EIR-3258

The New Federalist

☐ \$35 for 100 Issues

☐ \$20 for 50 Issues

Name

Street

City

State Zip

Phone

Make checks payable to

The New Federalist

P.O. Box 889

Leesburg, VA 20178

(888) EIR-3258

Allow approximately four weeks for delivery of first issue.



Join FDR-PAC

**The Political Action Committee
With the Ideas
To Save the Nation**

What is FDR-PAC? FDR-PAC is a political action committee launched by associates of Lyndon LaRouche in the Democratic Party. LaRouche, the world-renowned economist who campaigned for the Democratic Party Presidential nomination in 1996, serves as a leading member of the PAC's national Advisory Board. Nancy Spannaus, the Virginia Democrat who led the 1994 campaign which defeated Bushman Oliver North in his bid for U.S. Senate, serves as executive director.

FDR-PAC has been the only faction of the Democratic Party to aggressively defend the U.S. Presidency, and to insist that "Democrats be Democrats," not warmed-over Republicans. It is precisely such triangulation policies which led to the Democratic Party defeats in 1994 and 1996.

FDR-PAC advocates LaRouche's program to solve the global economic and strategic crisis; in particular, the bankruptcy reorganization of the financial system into a New Bretton Woods system; great infrastructure projects, led by the Eurasian Land-Bridge; and restoration of the Machine Tool Principle. These programs are in the tradition of FDR's domestic and foreign policy, which got us out of the Depression, and opposed British colonial methods. FDR-PAC also campaigns vigorously for cleaning up corruption in the Justice Department, starting with the exoneration of LaRouche.



Join FDR-PAC, and get New Federalist newspaper

- ☐ \$50 membership, includes 100 issues of **New Federalist**, the national newspaper of the American System.
- ☐ \$30 membership, includes 50 issues of **New Federalist**, the national newspaper of the American System.

Name _____
Address _____
City _____ State _____ Zip _____
Phone _____

I would like to contribute \$_____ to FDR-PAC. **FDR-PAC** Box 6157 Leesburg, VA 20178

Please make checks payable to FDR-PAC.

IRS regulations require us to inform you that contributions are not deductible for income tax purposes.

For more information:

888-EIR-3258

worldwide web:

<http://www.larouchepub.com>

e-mail:

larouche@larouchepub.com

Washington, D.C. 202-544-7087
Leesburg, VA 703-777-9451
Northern Virginia 703-779-2150
Pittsburgh, PA 412-884-3590
Philadelphia, PA 610-734-7080
Baltimore, MD 410-247-4200
Norfolk, VA 757-531-2295

Houston, TX 713-541-2907
Chicago, IL 312-335-6100
Flint, MI 810-232-2449
Minneapolis, MN 612-772-0083
Lincoln, NE 402-946-3981
Mt. Vernon, SD 605-996-7022
Phoenix, AZ 602-992-3276
Los Angeles, CA 323-259-1860

San Leandro, CA 510-352-3970
Seattle, WA 206-362-9091
Ridgefield Park, NJ
201-641-8858
Buffalo, NY 716-873-0651
Boston, MA 781-380-4000
Montreal, Canada 514-385-5495